Pro Se
MELODY THOMPSON
3249 Mountain Street
Lake Elsinore, CA 92530
Telephone: (951)545-2959
Facsimile: (310) 993-7770
Pro Se

Melody Thompson



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL COURT OF CALIFORNIA

EDCV19-00122 (SH

MELODY THOMPSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, OFFICER MOON, as an individual, and Does 1-30

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR:

- 1. FALSE ARREST (42 USC 1983)
- 2. MALICIOUS PROSECUTION
- 3. CRIMINAL DEFENSE REIMBURSEMENT
- 4. PUNITIVE DAMAGES

Plaintiff, [Melody Thompson], alleges as follows:

I.

JURISDICTION

1. This civil action is brought for the redress of alleged deprivations of Constitutional rights as protected by on 42 U.S.C. 1983,1983 and the First, Fourth and Fourtteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution. Jurisdiction is founded on 28 U.S.C. 1331 AND 1343 (1), (2), (3) and (4), and the aforementioned statutory and Constitutional provisions.

II.

VENUE

2. Venue is proper in the Central District of California. The injury occurred

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6]
7		
8		
9		
10]
11		
12]
13		
14		
15]
16		(
17		ê
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		S
24		
25		
26	Ш	,

28

in the County of Riverside, CA On information and belief, the plaintiff alleges that defendants reside with the jurisdictional limits of this court.

III.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff is an individual who at all times resided in the State of California, County of Riverside.
- 4. Plaintiff Melody Thompson, is suing for violations of her civil rights under 42 U.S.C. 1983.
- 5. At all times mentioned herein, defendants, and each of them, were and now are Residents of the County of Riverside, State of California.
- 6. At all times mentioned herein, defendant, Riverside County Sheriff is and at all times Mentioned herein, was a duly organized public entity, form unknown, and existing under laws of State of California.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believe, and upon such information and belief alleges that Defendant OFFICER MOON, is, and at all times herein mentioned was an individual residing in the County of Riverside, State of California, and that at all times relevant to the Complaint is, and was, a duly appointed and acting as an officer of the Riverside County Sheriff Department, acting under color of law, to wit, under color of the statutes, ordinances, regulations, policies, customs and usages Of the COUNTY of Riverside, and/or the State of California.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

1. This action is brought and initiated by Melody Thompson under the laws and protection of Riverside County for False Arrest and Malicious Prosecution. By this action, Melody Thompson seeks to hold Officer Moon responsible for false arrest and the failure of Riverside County

Sheriff Department to protect Melody Thompson from such actions. Additionally,
The Riverside County Sheriff Department became complicit when the filed unwarranted
criminal charges against Melody Thompson in the City of Riverside, in the State of California.

2. Plaintiff is a residence of Lake Elsinore, California and herein remains.

3. The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant is a Riverside County Sheriff located in the City of Riverside in the State of California.

The Principal place of administration for the Riverside County Sheriff is at the County Building.

- 4. Melody Thompson is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Riverside County Sheriffs, agents, directors, representatives, employees, officials, or affiliates, at all times relevant to this action had a legal and supervisory interest in Officer Moon, whether as directors, administrators, employers, officials, affiliates, superiors, counselors, or managing officers as alleged herein.
- 5. Melody Thompson is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that the Riverside County Sheriff Department is responsible to protect citizens appropriately from inappropriate conduct in some manner consistent to those rules under the State and Federal Constitution as alleged herein.
- 6. Melody Thompson is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant, Riverside County Sheriff Department (hereinafter "RCSD") is responsible for regulation and supervision of Officer Moon, of, officers, supervisors, directors, employees, officials, or affiliates, at all times relevant to this action had legal interest in Officer Moon as director, administrator, officials, affiliates, representatives, overseers, directors, agent, or managing officers failed in that capacity to prevent further harassment as alleged herein.
- 7. Melody Thompson is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, RCSD is therefore, responsible in some manner for the derelict of duties contrary and in violation of not following State and United State Codes against False Arrest as alleged herein.
- 8. Melody Thompson is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges RCSD has an legal interest in Officer Moon, whether as an director, officer, manager, representative, employer, and/or affiliate, and is, therefore, responsible in some manner for the false arrest actions of Officer Moon as alleged herein.
- 9. Melody Thompson is informed and believes, that Defendant, Officer Moon is an Officer at the Riverside County Sheriff Department.

 Melody Thompson encountered Officer Moon at the Old Court House in Riverside,
 California, Shortly thereafter, Melody Thompson was falsely arrested.

- 10. The true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 30, inclusive, are unknown to the Plaintiff, which therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 474. When the true names and capacities of said fictitiously named defendants have been ascertained, the Plaintiff will seek leave of this Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of said defendants in lieu of such fictitious names.
- 11. Melody Thompson is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that at all relevant to this action, DOES 1 through 30, inclusive, have a legal interest in Officer Moon and the appropriate supervision of officers located thereon, whether as directors, officers, manager, counselors, employers, employees, administrators, affiliates, agents, or managing officers.
- 12. Whenever in this Complaint reference is made to any act of Defendants, such allegation shall be deemed to mean each and every individually named Defendant, their officers, directors, agents, managers, representatives, employees, or affiliates, or DOES 1 through 30, inclusive, who authorized such acts while engaged in the supervision, management, direction or control of the affairs of Defendants at the Defendants' direction, while acting within the course and scope of their duties.
- 13. Petitioner had a court hearing at the Riverside County Court House on January 20, 2017 in Department 8 for a trust litigation hearing on an 850 petition. Petitioner's court time was changed. She decided to go back to the car to inform her son to wait to pay for parking. As the Petitioner started to leave the building, she saw two people in the metal detector area, one sitting in the chair while the other performed metal detector procedures. Petitioner asked a simple question regarding the time change." Clerks change the time," stated the worker.
- 14. Petitioner responds,' I'm glad that Trump is being inaugurated today, because the government will be given back to the people.' The person sitting in the chair responded, I don't like Trump because he's racist. Petitioner said," oh, no, he's not racist, but you must view many news outlets for the proper assessment."The worker replies, "I don't want to hear this!!"
 - 15. Petitioner invited the lady to further their discussion and they both started walking

toward the courtyard. As Petitioner looked up, Sheriffs were aggressively walking toward her.

- 16. The female officer was yelling," leave the court house now!" Petitioner was in shock. She wondered if she was speaking to her. As Moon continued to yell and got closer, she seemed out of control. At that point, Petitioner requested to speak to a watch commander, she stated, 'you are under arrest. She then aggressively hand cuffed Petitioner and pushed her into the metal detector area. Petitioner again, asked to speak to the watch commander.
- 17. A Corporal Officer, Identified himself as the watch commander. He allowed Moon to stay in control. They then paraded Petitioner through the court house to a basement area. Moon continued her abusive behavior and searched Petition's brief case. The actual watch com-mander, and a sergeant joined the officers. They were questioning the Petitioner. She said," if she is under arrest, she would speak only to her attorney." The sergeant explained, she was only being detained. If she cooperated there would be no arrest. They kept trying to make Petitioner agree that she did something worthy of being arrested. She would not agree because she did nothing wrong. Petitioner told them that it was very important she gets to her hearing. They had informed the judge they had her. This also horrified her as she needed the judge to view her in a positive light. They held her for approximately 70 minutes. They then cited and let her go.
- 18. Petitioner's whole future flashed before her eyes. She wouldn't be able to continue law school and would lose all her current licenses. Petitioner therefore hired a criminal defense attorney to defend herself against the false allegations. The District Attorney rejected the case.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(False Arrest) 42 U.S. Code ss 1983

- 19. Plaintiff repeat and reallege as if fully set forth herein the allegation set forth in allegations contained in paragraphs number 1 through 18.
- 20. Officer Moon falsely arrested Melody Thompson. In the United States our right to move freely is a civil right. That right cannot be violated lightly. Officer Moon did not follow proper protocol of a reasonable officer before making an arrest. The RCSD breached their duty when it failed to protect Melody Thompson from the further bullying of others in addition to Moon. Officer Moon was the direct and proximate cause of the false arrest.

Melody Thompson was humiliated as she was paraded through the Court House in front of her adversaries to whom she had to face in court soon after. She was further harassed after the false arrest as the sheriffs followed her into her court room. She struggled to maintain her demeanor as she argued her case for the sake of her law suit and others relying on her. The Sheriffs remained in the court room as she argued her case. This action could have further prejudiced the judge against her as the Sheriffs already informed the judge earlier that they had Melody Thompson in custody. Petitioner lost her case in the sum of \$200,000.00

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Malicious Prosecution) Code 1501

- 21. Plaintiff repeat and reallege as if fully set forth herein the allegations contained In paragraphs numbered 1-18.
- 22. That the Defendant Riverside County Sheriff Department filed intentional criminal charges against Melody Thompson. The defendant had access to a video that demonstrated that Officer Moon had no constitutional grounds to arrest Melody Thompson. Regardless, the Riverside County Sheriff's Department still proceeded with criminal charges. Melody Thompson attempted to gain justice by reporting the incident to the Riverside County Sheriff's Department, but was informed that she could not launch a complaint as long as criminal charges were pending against her. This time framed was extended due to her arrest date being changed. The Riverside County Sheriff's claimed that they could not legible read the arrest date. Melody Thompson, then proceeded to contact other government entities that could assist her in obtaining justice, but was constantly redirected back to the officers that originally harassed and attempted to intimidate her in the basement of the Riverside County Old Court House.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

To the first cause of action

WHEREFORE Plaintiff respectfully request that judgment be granted as follows:

Awarding compensatory damages for reimbursement for being falsely arrested and humiliated

	Case 5:19-cv-00122-R-SHK Document 1 Filed 01/22/19 Page 7 of 7 Page ID #:7
1 2 2	prior to a trust hearing in the sum of \$200,000.00. In addition pursuant to code: 4372, the plaintiff's pain and suffering as authorized under United States Civil code. As to the second cause of action
3	
4 5	WHEREFORE Plaintiff respectfully request that judgment be granted as follows: Award compensatory damages for reimbursement to defend against a malicious lawsuit
6	Infliction distress/and loss wages in the sum of \$25,000. In addition, RCSD were aware
7	of this type of harassment being perpetrated against American Citizen, but did nothing
8	to correct it. Plaintiff is requesting punitive damages as well as pain and suffering as
9	authorized under United States civil code 4372. And any additional compensation
10	or relief that the court sees fit.
11	
12	Law Firm: Pro Se
13	Plaintiff: Melody Thompson
14	Signature Mila Thigh
15	
16	
17	REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL
18	
19	Plaintiff request trial by jury.
20	27 1 1 1 2 2 2
21	Signature Mary Mayre
22	
23	
24	
2526	
27	
28	///

Case 5:19-cv-001221-R-STAKES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Page ID #:8

, I. (a) PLAINTIFFS (C	heck box if you are rep	resenting yourself) DEFENDANTS	(Check box if you are r	epresenting yourself [])
MELODY THO	MPSON		OFFICE	ER MOON	
(b) County of Residen	ce of First Listed Plai	ntiff	County of Resi	dence of First Listed Defe	endant
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	D T11-D 0		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Nar representing yourself, p 3249 Mountain Lake Elsinore	ne, Address and Telephoro rovide the same inform	nation.	Attorneys (Firm representing you	Name, Address and Telephourself, provide the same info	ne Number) If you are primation.
II. BASIS OF JURISDI			III. CITIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPAL PARTIES-For pox for plaintiff and one for	Diversity Cases Only defendant)
1. U.S. Government Plaintiff	3. Federal C Governmer	Question (U.S. nt Not a Party)	Citizen of This State Citizen of Another State	PTF DEF Incorporated of Business in	or Principal Place PTF DEF
2. U.S. Government Defendant	4. Diversity of Parties in	(Indicate Citizenship Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Natio	n
	Removed from 3. R			rred from Another Litig	tidistrict 8. Multidistrict pation - Litigation - usfer Direct File
V. REQUESTED IN CO	MPLAINT: JURY DE	MAND: 🔀 Yes] No (Check "Yes"	only if demanded in com	nplaint.)
CLASS ACTION unde	r F.R.Cv.P. 23:	Yes X No .	MONEY DEM	ANDED IN COMPLAINT	: \$
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Violation of VII. NATURE OF SUIT	Civil Right	s ((42U.S.C.		ent of cause. Do not cite jurisc	lictional statutes unless diversity.)
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	REAL PROPERTY CONT	IMMIGRATION	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY RIGHTS
375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/Etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Org. 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Info. Act 896 Arbitration 899 Admin. Procedures Act/Review of Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Vet. Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease &	340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Med Malpratice 365 Personal Injury- Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury	462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions FORTS PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 CIVIC RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 American with Disabilities- Employment 446 American with Disabilities-Other 448 Education	530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus/Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 600 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement FORESTURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other	■ 820 Copyrights ■ 830 Patent ■ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application ■ 840 Trademark ■ SOCIAL SECURITY ■ 861 HIA (1395ff) ■ 862 Black Lung (923) ■ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) ■ 864 SSID Title XVI ■ 865 RSI (405 (g)) ■ FEDERAL TAX SUITS ■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ■ 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609
State Statutes	Ejectment	Product Liability	14 -		CHK
OR OFFICE USE ONLY: V-71 (05/17)	Case Number:	EU G	COVER HEET O	100	Page 1 of 3
4-7 1 (05/17)		3,11,2	(1) O O	£ 2019 £ 5 1	SNAL

Case 5:19-cv-00122-R-SHK Document 1-1 Filed 01/22/19 FAGEORNIA Page ID #:9 CIVIL COVER SHEET

*VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

QUESTION A: Was this case removed STATE CASE WAS PENDING IN THE COURT OF THE COURT			NTY OF	INITIĂL DI	VIŞION IN CACD IS:	
Yes No	Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo				Western	
If "no, " skip to Question B. If "yes," check the box to the right that applies, enter the	Orange		•		Southern	
corresponding division in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.				Eastern		
Question 1, below, and continue to						
QUESTION B: Is the United States, or	B.1. Do 50% or more of the defendant	s who reside in	YES. Your	case will initially be assign	ed to the Southern Division	
one of its agencies or employees, a PLAINTIFF in this action?		Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and conting from there.				
	check one of the boxes to the right	•				
Yes X No			NO. Conti	nue to Question B.2.		
If "no, " skip to Question C. If "yes," answer Question B.1, at right.	B.2. Do 50% or more of the defendants who reside in the district reside in Riverside and/or San Bernardino Counties? (Consider the two counties together.) YES. Your case will initially be as Enter "Eastern" in response to Q from there.			tern" in response to Questi	signed to the Eastem Division. Juestion E, below, and continue	
	check one of the boxes to the right		NO. Your case will initially be assigned to the Western Division. Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.			
The state of the property of t		inga jelet je kon jelet je jelet				
QUESTION C: Is the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, a	C.1. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs w district reside in Orange Co.?	TIO TESIDE III ETIE	YES. Your Enter "Sou	case will initially be assign thern " in respo nse to Ques	ed to the Southern Divisior ition E, b elow, and continu	
DEFENDANT in this action?	check one of the boxes to the right	-	from there			
Yes 🔯 No			☐ NO. Conti	nue to Question C.2.		
If "no, " skip to Question D. If "yes," answer	C.2. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs w district reside in Riverside and/or San Bo Counties? (Consider the two counties t	YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Eastern Division. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.				
	check one of the boxes to the right	·	NO. Your of Enter "West from there	tern" in response to Quest	d to the Western Division. ion E, below, and continue	
QUESTION D: Location of plaintiffs	Oran	A. ige County	B : Riverside or San Bernardino County	C. Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo County		
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or n reside. (Check up to two boxes, or leave l	strict .)		□x			
ndicate the location(s) in which 50% or n district reside. (Check up to two boxes, or apply.)	nore of <i>defendants who reside in this</i> leave blank if none of these choice	s .		□ X		
《智慧》,《智慧》等于自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自己的自	等數學多數學是其主意。不過國際			t least one answer in C		
D.1. Is there at least one answer in Column A?				Yes No	oranii o:	
Yes No						
If "yes," your case will initial	If "yes," your case will initially be assigned to the EASTERN DIVISION.					
SOUTHERN DIVISION. Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.		Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below.				
Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue norm there.		If "no," your case will be assigned to the WESTERN DIVISION.				
ir no, go to question D2 to the right.		Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below.				
DUESTION E: Initial Division?	INITIAL DIVISION IN CACE					
nter the initial division determined by Question A, B, C, or D above:			RN	The State of the S	may have the other many and the total the state of	
UESTION F: Northern Counties?						
o 50% or more of plaintiffs or defendants	in this district reside in Ventura, Sa	nta Barbara, or	San Luis Obisp	o counties?	'es 🗌 No	

CV-71 (05/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 3

Case 5:19-cv-001 RRF DISTRICTED STATES DISTRICTED URIL CENTERAL CULTURE COVER SHEET

IX(a). IDENTICAL CA	\SES: Has this a	ction been previously filed in this court?	□ X NO	YES
If yes, list case nun	nber(s):			·
IX(b). RELATED CAS	ES: Is this case i	elated (as defined below) to any civil or criminal case(s) previously filed in	this court? NO	YES
if yes, list case nun	nber(s):			
Civil cases are re	elated when the	y (check all that apply):		
A. Aris	e from the same	or a closely related transaction, happening, or event;		
B. Call	for determination	on of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact;	or	
C. For	other reasons w	ould entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.		
Note: That cases	s may involve th	e same patent, trademark, or copyright is not, in itself, sufficient to deem c	ases related.	
A civil forfeiture	e case and a cri	ninal case are related when they (check all that apply):		
A. Aris	e from the same	or a closely related transaction, happening, or event;		
— ☐ B. Call	for determination	on of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact;	or	
C. Invo	olve one or more	defendants from the criminal case in common and would entail substant	ial duplication o	f
laborii	f heard by differ	ent judges.		,
. SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	1: Micaj Thup	ATE: 1	/22/19
either replaces nor sup	plements the fil	sion of this Civil Cover Sheet is required by Local Rule 3-1. This Form CV-7 ng and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as linstruction sheet (CV-071A).	1 and the inform provided by loca	ation contained here I rules of court. For
y to Statistical codes relat	ting to Social Secu	rity Cases:		
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the S	ocial Socurity Act	as amended Also
861	HIA ·	include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as prov (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))	iders of services ui	nder the program.
862	ВĹ	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine 923)		
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 call claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g)))	
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability unamended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	der Title 2 of the S	ocial Security Act, as
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed amended.	under Title 16 of	the Social Security Act, a
865	RSI	. All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	l Security Act, as a	mended.